

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

000005207

93 RF 1762

EG&G ROCKY FLATS



DIST	U/R	U/R
NECETTI RL	X	X
NJAMIN A		
BERMAN HS		
BRANCH DB		
CARNIVAL GJ		
DAVIS JG		
FERRERA DW		
HANNI BJ		
HARMAN L K		
HEALY TJ		
HEDAHL T	X	
HILBIG JG		
IDEKER FH		
KIRBY WA		
KUESTER AW		
LEE EM	X	X
MANN HP	X	
MARX GE		
MCDONALD MM		
McKENNA FG	X	
MCINTROSE JK		
MORGAN RV		
POTTER GL	X	
PIZZUTO VM		
RILEY JH		
SANDLIN NB		
SHEPHER RI		
STEWART DL		
SULLIVAN MT		
SWANSON ER		
WILKINSON RB		
WILLIAMS S (ORC)		
WILSON JM		
ZANE JO	X	
<u>London</u>	X	X
<u>Maxwell</u>	X	
<u>Setlock</u>	X	
<u>W. Osborne</u>	X	X
<u>P. Best</u>	X	
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL	X	X
RAFFIC		

EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC
ROCKY FLATS PLANT P O BOX 464 GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0464 • (303) 966 7000
February 15, 1993

Robert M Nelson, Jr
Manager
DOE, RFO

ATTN M S Karol

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND AIR EMISSION ESTIMATE FOR PONDCRETE PROCESSING AND REPROCESSING AT ROCKY FLATS PLANT (RFP) - TGH-132-93

The purpose of this letter is to forward to you a project description and air emission estimate for pondcrete processing and reprocessing (per our letter 93-RF-1579 dated February 8, 1993) The project description contains information on the solidification of waste sludge from five solar evaporation ponds Information on the reprocessing of pondcrete and saltcrete into a certified waste product suitable for shipment and storage is also included

These waste processing activities could result in a total potential effective dose equivalent (EDE) to the public of 6.4×10^{-3} millirem per year Erection of waste processing equipment has begun but is on hold until February 1994 As such, there is no immediate deadline to receive EPA approval for construction and operation In fact, RFP will most likely demonstrate compliance with regulation 40 CFR 61, Subpart H by the time construction resumes, and therefore, this application would become unnecessary As verbally directed by S A Duletsky of your staff, this information is being submitted to you as a project description instead of an application for Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approval Our understanding is that the project description will be forwarded to the EPA for their reference and not for their approval

In addition, our understanding from S A Duletsky is that the application for duct remediation in Building 707, Plenum 102, has been submitted to the EPA as a project description instead of an application for approval This was arranged between S A Duletsky and S Whitmore of EPA Region VIII Duct remediation activities will proceed on schedule since EPA approval is now unnecessary

If you have any questions or if your understanding is different than ours, please contact W E Osborne at 966-8609, Digital Page 1856, or G H Setlock at 966-8632, Digital Page 5380

CLASSIFICATION

UCNI	X	X
UNCLASSIFIED	X	X
CONFIDENTIAL		
SECRET		

AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER

SIGNATURE
T G Hedahl
DATE 2/12/93
REPLY TO RFP CC NO

T G Hedahl, Associate General Manager
Environmental & Waste Management

WEO mjt

Orig and 1 cc - R M Nelson, Jr

Attachment
As Stated

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION
REVIEW WAIVER PER
CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

REVIEWED FOR CLASSIFICATION/UCNI
By *Bea Duran*
Date 8-11-93

ITEM STATUS
[] CLOSED
[] PARTIAL
[] INITIALS
[] TYPIST INITIALS
[] APPROVAL